

## Interrogation Report

**Case:** 01 Js 1337/25

**Case Title:** Murder of Carina Bandorf

**Date of Interrogation:** 9 April 2025

**Location:** Police station Schweinfurt

**Interrogating Officer:** Chief Inspector Christina Müller (CI Müller)

**Suspect:** Hans Glueck (HG)

**Suspect's Address:** Höllental 24a, 97422 Schweinfurt

### Transcript:

**CI Müller:** Good morning, Mr. Glueck. For the record, please state your full name and current address.

**HG:** My name is Hans Glueck. I live at Höllental 24a, 97422 Schweinfurt.

**CI Müller:** Thank you. We are here regarding the murder of Carina Bandorf. As you know, the crime occurred in a forest near Schweinfurt at coordinates 50.058852, 10.259366 on April 4, 2025. The time of death has been recorded as 11:03 a.m., with the pathological examination estimating the crime at approximately 10:58 a.m. Do you understand the seriousness of these allegations?

**HG (quietly):** Yes, I understand.

**CI Müller (leaning forward):** Let's address the events of April 4. Witnesses reported that you were seen leaving the forest between 11:05 and 11:15 a.m. at coordinates 50.056081, 10.260027. Your smartwatch and mobile phone were later seized on April 7, and their data have been analyzed. Can you explain why you were at that location during that time?

**HG (hesitating):** I—I was in the forest, yes. I decided to take a break, clear my head for a little while.

**CI Müller:** A break, you say? It is curious, Mr. Glueck, that during this so-called break your devices show a gap in recorded data precisely around the time when the crime is estimated to have taken place. You claim the GPS data in that forest is inaccurate, yet the evidence places you at or near the scene at a critical moment. Would you care to explain this discrepancy further?

**HG (fidgeting and pausing):** Like I said, sometimes the GPS doesn't work properly in dense areas. I set my phone and my Garmin watch down when I needed a moment to relax... and it might have not registered the movement accurately.

**CI Müller (voice edged with skepticism):** So you claim that even though you left your devices idle during your 'break' and simply sat on the floor for 10 minutes, it is a coincidence that your break coincided with the time when the victim was presumably killed at approximately 10:58 a.m.? How do you reconcile these facts?

**HG (voice trembling slightly):** I...I don't know what else to say. I was just taking some time off. I wasn't doing anything improper, I promise.

**CI Müller:** Let's turn now to your social connection with the victim. Our records show that you and Carina Bandorf were friends on Facebook and that you placed a phone call to her three days prior to the murder. However, you claim that you met her in a club and obtained her number there. Which account is accurate, Mr. Glueck?

**HG (avoiding eye contact):** We might have met in a club, but look—it's possible I connected with her online too. People meet in different ways these days. I—I don't remember everything perfectly.

**CI Müller (sharp tone):** Mr. Glueck, the evidence indicates a longstanding online connection that contradicts your casual tale of a single meeting in a club. Your inconsistency in describing your relationship with the victim raises serious doubts about your account.

**HG (pausing long before replying):** I...I guess maybe I wasn't entirely clear before. But that doesn't mean I had anything to do with her death.

**CI Müller:** And what about the gap in your digital tracking data? Your smartwatch and mobile phone, which should have been active, show a suspicious lapse precisely when this brutal crime occurred—a stabbing in the victim's neck that led to fatal blood loss. How do you explain having no recorded activity during that crucial moment?

**HG (defensively):** I told you, I put my devices down. I needed a break in the middle of everything—I wasn't moving much at that time. It's not evidence of some dark deed; it's just how things went.

**CI Müller (raising her voice slightly):** Mr. Glueck, your explanation is not only vague but highly coincidental. How can it be that your "break" would occur exactly during the time our forensic team estimates that Carina Bandorf was murdered? Your repeated evasions and hesitations do not inspire confidence in your version of events.

**HG (increasingly agitated):** I'm not trying to hide anything! I simply took some time to sit down. I have no idea why the devices would misreport that... I mean, I wasn't fiddling with how they record data.

**CI Müller (coldly):** Mr. Glueck, the evidence—the witness observations, the digital tracking, and the timing—places you uncomfortably close to the scene at the time of the crime. Your inconsistent story regarding the victim further deepens our suspicions. Perhaps you can clarify: why would a man with an established acquaintance with the victim resort to claiming a single, isolated meeting at a club to cover up a longer connection?

**HG (voice wavering, after a long pause):** I—I told you, my memory isn't perfect. Things happen quickly, and I wasn't paying close attention. I'm giving you my version of events as best as I can.

**CI Müller (firmly):** Your version of events, Mr. Glueck, does not align with the physical evidence and the digital data we have. There is a clear gap—one that conveniently covers the period during which Carina Bandorf was murdered. If you have additional information or evidence to contradict this, now is the time to provide it.

(There is a prolonged silence as HG avoids eye contact and shifts uncomfortably in his seat.)

**HG (barely audible):** I—I don't have anything else...

**CI Müller (with a note of finality):** Very well. Let me remind you, Mr. Glueck, that every inconsistency and delay in your answers adds to the damning picture we are building. Your digital footprint, the eyewitness accounts, and the timeline paint a troubling scenario that we will continue to investigate thoroughly. The truth will out, whether you choose to cooperate now or later.

End of Transcript